## EXAMPLE OF A PRODUCTIVE CONVERSATION

Consider this fictional conversation among three marketing executives for Nordstrom's. It's a good example of a productive conversation in which assumptions are openly acknowledged and challenged:

<u>Jane</u>: "We've lost three percent of our market share in the past year. I'm baffled. So let's brainstorm some ideas about what's going on, and what we can do."

Samuel: "Let's start with what we know. Okay?"

<u>Tom</u>: "We know that The Gap has introduced a new incentive for its local stores. For every million dollars in sales in a given quarter, the store employees and management get to share an additional 5 percent commission on the total sold."

<u>Samuel</u>: "That's a good deal. And we know that Macy's started advertising heavily on TV, and they're targeting women."

<u>Tom</u>: "Yeah. We've continued to rely on our strategy of direct mail advertising primarily through our catalog because our target continues to be upscale professionals."

<u>Jane</u>: "In fact, we didn't do anything different in the last year. We just stayed put with our marketing strategy. So what are we going to do?"

<u>Tom</u>: "Allow me to offer another factor, if I may. A lot of consumers are starting to buy clothes directly via the Internet, and not from us."

<u>Jane</u>: "Corporate decided last year that we couldn't sell product directly to consumers for fear of making our local stores angry."

<u>Samuel</u>: "That's right, but when's the last time we did any research on direct consumer sales?"

<u>Tom</u>: "Two years ago we did some sort of survey, I believe. It was a negligible factor then."

Jane: "So do we know where we lost those share points?"

Samuel: "I don't think we do."

<u>Tom</u>: "But here's my assumption: The bulk of our lost share points can be directly attributed to pricing wars."

Samuel: "How can we test that assumption?"

<u>Tom</u>: "The easiest way is to analyze our sales to those of The Gap and Macy's in some selected markets. Our store managers should be able to tell us that. "

Samuel: "Then let's do that."

Jane: "But I have this feeling that the answer is underneath our noses."

<u>Tom</u>: "Well, one thing we haven't talked about is the changing nature of our market. We've been assuming that as older people leave, younger people come in. That's been our model."

Jane: "So you're saying, what exactly?"

<u>Tom</u>: "Well, if the market is changing, then are we positioned correctly to attract those younger upscale customers? We may have an image problem. If we do, it suggests a need to make a major change in our strategy."

Jane: "How can we verify that?"

<u>Samuel</u>: "We could do a deeper survey of potential young customers in those same three local markets, and determine whether the data shows any change in our positioning."

<u>Jane</u>: "Well, all right. Let's get both pieces of data: The positioning data and the competitive sales data. Okay?

Tom: "Sounds good. Plus I'll check Internet sales and get fix on what's happening there."

Samuel: "Great!"

In the conversation above, underline key moments where the conversation could have become unproductive. What made it work? Which of the personalities was most willing to let their assumptions be challenged?

Page 2 of 2